IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

LONE STAR TECHNOLOGICAL	§	
INNOVATIONS, LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	Civil Case No. 6:19-cv-00059-RWS
v.	§	
	§	
ASUSTEK COMPUTER INC.,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	
·	§	
	§	

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the court's charge. As used in this Verdict Form, "Lone Star" means the Plaintiff Lone Star Technological, Innovations, LLC and "ASUS" means Defendant ASUSTek Computer Inc.

QUESTION NO. 1: DAMAGES FOR 100% USAGE

If 100% of the Asus products were used to infringe the patented method, what sum of
money, if paid now, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and
reasonably compensate Lone Star for ASUS's inducement of infringement of U.S. Patent No.
6,724,435 (also called "the '435 Patent") through the expiration of the patent?

Answer in dollars and cents.	\$
------------------------------	----

Proceed to the next question.

QUESTION NO. 2: PERCENTAGE OF USERS WHO INFRINGED

What percentage of the ASUS products did Lone Star prove, by a preponderance of the				
evidence, that users have used to actually perform the infringing method?				
Answer in a percentage from 0% to 100%.				
Proceed to the next question.				

QUESTION NO. 3: WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT

Has Lone Star proved by a preponderance of the evidence that, between the first
trial in May 2021 and this trial, ASUS willfully infringed U.S. Patent No. 6,724,435 (the
'435 patent)?
Write "Yes" or "No"

Please proceed to the next page, and your Presiding Juror will sign the Verdict Form

	mously answered the pre vincing evidence as instr	U 1	preponderance of the evidence
Submitted the	day of June, 2022 at	o'clock,	m.
		PRESIDING JUROR	